PATENT COOPERATION TREATY
PCT

EC'D 0.8 MAR, 2005

WIPO

PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	ant's or		t's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTI	ON See Notification	on of Transmittal of International camination Report (Form PCT/IF	PEA/416)	
				International filing date (day	(month/sear)	Priority date (day/month/year	)	
	ational a ÆP 03		ation No. 27	02.12.2003	Milonulyeary	06.12.2002		
				oth national classification and	IPC			
	national I V1 <i>/</i> 38	Paten	t Classification (IPC) or be	oth national classification and	0			
GUI	V 1/30							
!								
Appli	cant	JGE	CO SEISMIC HOLD	NGS LIMITED et al.				
VVE		NGE						
1.	This i	ntern ority a	ational preliminary exa nd is transmitted to the	mination report has been p applicant according to Ar	orepared by this Int ticle 36.	ernational Preliminary Exam	ining	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, Including this cover sheet.								
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	Thee	•	nexes consist of a total					
	mes	e am	leves cousist of a toral	•				
-								
3.	This	repoi	t contains indications ı	relating to the following ite	ms:			
	1	$\boxtimes$	Basis of the opinion					
	11		Priority					
1	III		Non-establishment o	f opinion with regard to no	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	١V		Lack of unity of inver	ntion				
	V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						аррисавшту;	
	۷I		Certain documents					
	VII			e international application				
-	VIII		Certain observations	s on the international appli	cation			
L					Data of completion of	of this report		
Da	te of sul	omiss	on of the demand		Date of completion of	or una report		
14	1.06.20	04			07.03.2005			
Na	me and	mailii v exar	ng address of the internat	ional	Authorized Officer		Andrews Princeson.	
		- E	uropean Patent Office		Schneiderbaue	r K		
		Т	-80298 Munich el. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52	23656 epmu d			` <i>\_\Y\</i>	
-		Ė	ax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49	89 2399-/613	Office outpo	

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/50927

I. B	asis	of	the	rep	ort
------	------	----	-----	-----	-----

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

I	Desc	ription, Pages				
	1-20		as originally filed			
	01	Numbero				
		ns, Numbers	as originally filed			
	1-42		as originally filed			
	Drav	vings, Sheets				
1/9-9/9			as originally filed			
2.	With lang	regard to the <b>langua</b> guage in which the inte	ge, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the rnational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	_		lable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
			slation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
	П	the language of public	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a trar Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under ).			
3.	With inte	n regard to any <b>nucleo</b> rnational preliminary e	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.			
		filed together with the	international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosing the international application as filed has been furnished.				
		to the written seque				
4	. The	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/50927

	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they had	ıve
5. L	This report has been established as it cleaves as filed (Pulo 70 2(c))	
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-4,14-18,23,24,38,39

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-42

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-42

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

## 1.) Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US-A-5428581 (Doisy)

27-06-1995

- 2.) Technical field: seismic data processing
- 3.) Problems concerning clarity (Art.6 PCT):
- 3.1) The application does not comply with Art.6 PCT because the claims are not concise; they claim various subject-matters in the same category: claims 14 and 24 (methods) and claims 1 and 39 (apparatus, computer program).
- 3.2) The subject-matters of claims 1 (apparatus) and claim 14 (method) are too broadly defined and therefore not covered by the description (s. also PCT Guidelines Section IV / III-6.1): it is clear from the description (p.9, li.20 and p.12, li.5-7) that the invention relates to (method and apparatus):
- the determination of the position of sensors on a seismic cable based on a determined propagation time delay between source and sensors.

Also the processing unit (claim 1) is adapted to determine from a propagation time delay between source and sensors the position of the sensors on a seismic cable (p.12, li.5-7).

The wording of claims 1 and 14 embraces also the possibility of determining the position of a third object (different from the sensors on the cable) based on the calculated propagation time delay between source and receivers. This is not covered by the description. It is therefore not clear in claims 1 and 14: a) to which purpose the determination of the propagation time delay serves and b) assuming that the purpose is the determination of a position, the position of which object is determined.

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

- 4.) The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(1),(2) PCT, because the subject-matters of claim 1 (apparatus) and the corresponding method claim 14 in there present form are not new. D1 describes a known method of determining the position of a moving target by (col.1, li.9-20):
- generating a positioning signal from a source
- receiving the positioning signal with a receiver positioned along a seismic cable
- providing a (computed) Doppler shifted positioning signal corresponding to the positioning signal (col.1, li.14-16)
- determining a propagation time delay from source to receiver using the generated positioning signal, the received positioning signal and the computed Doppler shifted positioning signal (col.1, li.13-20; the correlation step between received signals and several copies of Doppler shifted signals).
- 5.) A method (apparatus) for determining the position of sensors on a seismic cable claimed as independent claims 1 and 14 without the deficiencies as mentioned in point 3 ("problems concerning clarity") does not appear to be inventive over D1 (Art. 33(1),(3) PCT). It merely employs the same principle (using computed Doppler shifted positioning signals) for the localization of the sensors and not of a third object.
- 6.) Independent claims 24 and 39:

The subject matters of these claims are not new (Art.33 (1),(2), PCT): they constitute the set-up of look-up tables which are used by the signal processing unit and which implicitly must exist in the known method as described in D1 (col.1, li.14-16: "... copies of the signals sent out, each copy corresponding to a different Doppler shift.").

- 7.) The dependent claims:
- 7.1) The subject-matters of dependent claims 2,3,4,15-18,23 and 38 are comprised in D1 (col.1, li.9-20 or implicitly comprised like the library and the Doppler shifted signals stored in the library) and therefore not new (Art. 33(1),(2) PCT).
- 7.2) The subject-matters of claims 5-13, 19-22, 25-37 and 40-42 appear to be a matter of normal design procedure which easily would be included in D1 and which therefore do not involve an inventive step (Art.33(1),(3) PCT).



### International application No. PCT/EP 03/50927

### **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

### 8.) Industrial applicability (Art.33(1),(4) PCT):

Beyond any doubt the invention, as defined in claims 1-42, is industrially applicable.